

Bill Olson Sr VP Operations Gulf Interstate Engineering

San Diego, Mar 6, 2024

Utilizing Existing Pipeline Infrastructure in CO2 & H2 Service



01 Introduction & Credentials



Sr. VP of Operations - Gulf Companies

Bill Olson

- 43-year career in oil & gas industry
- 25 years experience in CO2 transport systems
- Chair of ASME B31.4/11 (liquid pipelines including dense CO2)
- Member of ASME B31.8 Task Group for gaseous CO2
- Member of API Task Force developing new RP-11CO2
- Contributor to PRCI CO2 Task Force
- Contributor to PRCI Hydrogen Task Force
- Corresponding member of ASME B31.8 Task Group for Hydrogen

Gulf's History – Founded in 1953



Gulf Companies Suite of Services



Gulf's Current CO2 "Footprint" in the US



02 CO2 Pipelines – Today



The "Why?": Potential Large Increases in CO₂ Pipelines

- Currently ~6,000 miles of CO₂ pipelines globally
- ~5,300 miles of CO₂ pipelines in USA





US CO₂ Pipelines : Where Are We & How Did We Get Here?

- Almost all current systems serve oil fields (EOR)
- EOR was subsidized by Federal Government to reduce reliance of foreign oil in the 70's
- The major systems built in late 70's to early 80's transport geologically sourced CO2
- Several systems built in late 90's and 2010's to transport anthropogenic (man made) CO2
- Majority of CO2 currently transported in US systems originates from geologic sources

Note: these systems all transport CO2 in dense phase (S.G. ~0.7 or 70% density of water)

Our CO2 Challenge: Lack of Knowledge

Most existing CO2 pipelines are in remote areas serving the oil fields (EOR) These systems have been operating steadily and reliably for >40 years There have been no significant failures until 2020 (Denbury system in Mississippi) There have been no fatalities, serious injury, or significant property loss due to failures

"Out of Sight – Out of Mind".... Until Now!

CO₂ Pipelines: What is Changing?

- Infrastructure Renewal Act of late 2022 directed federal subsides toward sequestration of anthropogenic CO₂
- Private equity has flooded the market
- BoDs of major industrial CO₂ emitters have set goals for massive carbon reduction
- CCTS (carbon capture, transport & storage) projects are proliferating across US and internationally
- Most new CO₂ pipeline systems target sequestration (versus EOR)
- Many new systems are near or within industrial & more densely populated/developed areas

2050



The "Why?": Potential Large Increases in CO₂ Pipelines

NOTES:

- E+: high electrification
- ~13,000 miles of trunklines
- ~53,000 miles of spur lines

E+ scenario

929 million tCO₂/y 106,000 km pipelines Capital in service: \$170B

CO2 point source type

- CO2 point sources
- BECCS power and fuels
- Cement w/ ccs
- Natural gas power ccs oxyfuel
- CO2 captured (MMTPA)
- 0.0006449
- 7.9144
- 15.8282
- 23.7419

Trunk lines (capacity in MMTPA)

- ----- < 100

- *Note*: On a volume basis (at reservoir pressure), CO_2 flow in 2050 E+ scenario is 1.3x current U.S. oil production and $\frac{1}{4}$ of current oil + gas production.





03 Impacts to Existing Pipeline Infrastructure

Do Existing Pipelines Represent Opportunities?

 \succ Targeting man-made sources of CO₂ focuses on industrial areas

> Industrial areas tend to be innervated with existing pipelines

Some of this infrastructure is idle or under-utilized

> Can this pipeline infrastructure be utilized for CCTS purposes?

Do Existing Pipelines Represent Opportunities?

- The answer to this question requires both a technical and commercial analysis:
 - A given diameter pipeline can transport ~300-400 times more mass of CO2 in dense phase vs. gaseous, but...
 - Most existing pipeline infrastructure is not rated for dense phase operating pressures (generally > 1500 PSI)
- The question then becomes whether the existing pipe can economically carry a sufficient mass of CO2 in a gaseous state?
- If the answer to this question is yes, then what guidance exists to safely implement this conversion of service?

What Modifications May Be Needed to Convert an Existing Pipeline Systems to CO2 Service?

- Significant pipe replacement (MOP, latent defects, metallurgy)
- > Possible installation of crack arrestors on older pipes
- > Replace all MLVs, add new MLVs, add Line break detection
- Replace all/most compressors and pumps
- Upgrade SCADA system and leak detection
- > Replace metering systems
- > Replace all pressure/flow control devices
- > Air monitoring of enclosed spaces and buildings



04 Unfolding Technical & Regulatory Guidance

"Minding the Gaps"

ASME Undertakings

B31.8 Task Group has drafted a new chapter addressing transportation of gaseous CO2. On track to be published in the 2024 edition later this year. It will address key areas in changing natural gas pipelines to gaseous CO2.

B31.4 – A new Task Group is being formed to update/enhance the "liquid" CO2 guidance in time for the 2025 edition.

Updates are in progress for DNV "CO2PipeSafe", CSA Z662, ISO standards.

API RP11CO2, "CO2 Transportation by Pipeline" – recently formed Task Group to address "gaps" between all the above-mentioned codes.

PHMSA rulemaking response to Sartartia, Miss. failure scheduled for Q2 issuance

US DOE research funding via NETL and many national laboratories



04 Hydrogen Developments

Extra Credit: Developments in Pipeline Transport of H2

ASME B31.12-2019 (Revision of ASME B31.12-2014)

Hydrogen Piping and Pipelines

ASME Code for Pressure Piping, B31

Developments in Pipeline Transport of H2

Rapid development of a new ASME B31.8 chapter for hydrogen pipelines for 2026 edition, with concurrent withdrawal of B31.12

PRCI Emerging Fuels Institute (EFI) will develop and fund a project to write the new chapter of ASME B31.8 on ASME's behalf. This work will include contributions from national labs and other international research groups in its execution.

Similar efforts are underway in Canada, Europe, Australia.

H₂: Do Existing Pipelines Represent Opportunities?

- Somewhat like our CO2 scenario, the answer to this question requires both a technical and commercial analysis:
 - At the same pressure, a given diameter pipeline can only transport ~40% of the BTUs in H₂ versus the same pipe transporting natural gas
 - Blending H2 into natural gas may "win" carbon offset credits, but it does very little to truly decarbonize the energy economy
- Transporting H2 as ammonia or methanol will be more efficient, but seem to limited in scale to significantly impact the energy market.
- While there are many technical concerns about using existing pipelines in pure H2 service, it appears economical considerations will eliminate many such scenarios

Can We Utilize Existing Pipeline Systems in H₂ Service?





Gulf Companies 16010 Barkers Point Lane, Suite 600 | Houston, Texas 77079 www.gulfcompanies.com